Representations of Bihom-Lie algebras [1]

Yongsheng Cheng[1),2)], Huange Qi[1)]

1) School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China

2) Institute of Contemporary Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China

arXiv:1610.04302v1 [math.RT] 14 Oct 2016

Abstract Bihom-Lie algebra is a generalized Hom-Lie algebra endowed with two commuting multiplicative linear maps. In this paper, we study cohomology and representations of Bihom-Lie algebras. In particular, derivations, central extensions, derivation extensions, the trivial representation and the adjoint representation of Bihom-Lie algebras are studied in detail.

Keywords Bihom-Lie algebras; Derivations; Cohomology; Representations of Bihom-Lie algebras

1 Introduction

The motivations to study Hom-Lie structures are related to physics and to deformations of Lie algebras, in particular Lie algebras of vector fields. Hom-Lie algebra, introduced by Hartig, Larson and Silvestrov in [7], is a triple $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha_L)$ consisting of a vector space L, a bilinear map $[\cdot,\cdot] : L \otimes L \to L$ and a vector space homomorphism $\alpha_L : L \to L$ satisfying the following conditions

$$[x, y] = -[y, x], \quad (skew - symmetry),$$

$$[\alpha(x), [y, z]] + [\alpha(y), [z, x]] + [\alpha(z), [x, y]] = 0, \quad (Hom - Jacobi \ \ identity)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. The main feature of these algebras is that the identities defining the structures are twisted by homomorphisms. The paradigmatic examples are q-deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras, Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and other algebraic structure constructed in pioneering works [4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14]. The representation theory of Hom-Lie algebras was introduced by Sheng in [12], in which, Hom-cochain complexes, derivation, central extension, derivation extension, trivial representation and adjoint representation of Hom-Lie algebras were studied. In addition, (co)homology and deformations theory of Hom-algebras were studied in [1, 3, 8, 11, 13, 15].

In [6], the authors introduced a generalized algebraic structure endowed with two commuting multiplicative linear maps, called Bihom-algebras. When the two linear maps are same, then Bihom-algebras will be return to Hom-algebras. These algebraic structures include Bihom-associative algebras, Bihom-Lie algebras and Bihom-bialgebras. The purpose

[1]Supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 11047030) and the Science and Technology Program of Henan Province (No. 152300410061).

of this paper is to study cohomology, representations of Bihom-Lie algebras. In particular, the trivial representation and the adjoint representation of Bihom-Lie algebras are studied in detail. Derivations, central extensions and derivation extensions of Bihom-Lie algebras are also studied as an application.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the definition, properties of Bihom-Lie algebras and a few examples of Bihom-Lie algebra. In Section 3 we study derivations, inner derivation and derivation extension of multiplicative Bihom-Lie algebras. In Section 4 we give representations of regular Bihom-Lie algebras, and give their cohomology. In Section 5 we study the trivial representations of regular Bihom-Lie algebras, and show that central extensions of a Bihom-Lie algebra are controlled by the second cohomology in the trivial representation. In Section 6 we study the adjoint representation of a regular Bihom-Lie algebra. We obtain that a 1-cocycle associated to the adjoint representation is a derivation for Bihom-Lie algebras.

2 Bihom-Lie algebras

Our aim in this section is to introduce more general definition of Bihom-Lie algebras and properties from [6]. From now on, we will always work over a base field $\mathbb{K}$. All algebras, linear spaces etc. will be over $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 2.1.

1. A *BiHom-associative algebra* over $\Bbbk$ is a 4-tuple (A, μ, α, β), where A is a $\Bbbk$-linear space, $\alpha\colon A \to A$, $\beta\colon A \to A$ and $\mu\colon A \otimes A \to A$ are linear maps, with notation $\mu(a \otimes a') = aa'$, satisfying the following conditions, for all $a, a', a'' \in A$:

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha,$$
$$\alpha(a)(a'a'') = (aa')\beta(a'') \quad \text{(BiHom-associativity)}.$$

   In particular, if $\alpha(aa') = \alpha(a)\alpha(a')$ and $\beta(aa') = \beta(a)\beta(a')$, we call it multiplicative Bihom-associative algebra.

2. A *Bihom-Lie algebra* over a field $\mathbb{K}$ is a 4-tuple $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$, where L is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear space, $\alpha\colon L \to L$, $\beta\colon L \to L$ and $[-]\colon L \otimes L \to L$ are linear maps, with notation $[-](a \otimes a') = [a, a']$, satisfying the following conditions, for all $a, a', a'' \in L$:

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha, \tag{2.1}$$
$$[\beta(a), \alpha(a')] = -[\beta(a'), \alpha(a)] \qquad \text{(skew-symmetry)}, \tag{2.2}$$
$$\big[\beta^2(a), [\beta(a'), \alpha(a'')]\big] + \big[\beta^2(a'), [\beta(a''), \alpha(a)]\big] + \big[\beta^2(a''), [\beta(a), \alpha(a')]\big] = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

   (Bihom-Jacobi condition).

3. A Bihom-Lie algebra is called a *multiplicative* Bihom-Lie algebra if α and β are algebraic morphisms, i.e. for any $a', a'' \in L$, we have

$$\alpha([a', a'']) = [\alpha(a'), \alpha(a'')], \quad \beta([a', a'']) = [\beta(a'), \beta(a'')]. \tag{2.4}$$

4. A multiplicative Bihom-Lie algebra is called a *regular* Bihom-Lie algebra if α, β are bijective maps.

Remark 2.2. *Obviously, a BiHom-Lie algebra $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ for which $\alpha = \beta$ is just a Hom-Lie algebra $(L, [-], \alpha)$.*

Similar with Lie algebras coming from associative algebras by commutator, Bihom-Lie algebras can also be obtained by Bihom-associative algebras. Unlike the Hom case, to obtain a Bihom-Lie algebra from a Bihom-associative algebra we need the maps α and β to be bijective. Next proposition comes from [6].

Proposition 2.3. *If (A, μ, α, β) is a Bihom-associative algebra with bijective α and β, then, for every $a, a' \in A$, we can set*

$$[a, a'] = aa' - \big(\alpha^{-1}\beta(a')\big)\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}(a)\big).$$

Then $(A, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra, denoted by $L(A)$.

Example 2.4. *We take an example of 2-dimensional Bihom-Lie algebra obtained from 2-dimensional Bihom-associative algebra . Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis of associative algebra A. The linear maps $\alpha, \beta \colon A \to A$ and $\mu \colon A \otimes A \to A$ are defined by*

$$\alpha(e_1) = e_1, \qquad \alpha(e_2) = \frac{1}{m}e_1 + \frac{n-1}{n}e_2, \qquad \beta(e_1) = e_1, \qquad \beta(e_2) = e_2,$$

$$\mu(e_1, e_1) = me_1, \qquad \mu(e_1, e_2) = me_2,$$
$$\mu(e_2, e_1) = ne_1, \qquad \mu(e_2, e_2) = ne_2,$$

where m, n are parameters in $\mathbb{K}$, with $m, n \neq 0$ and $n \neq 1$. Then we get (A, μ, α, β) is a 2-dimensional Bihom-associative algebra. In view of Proposition 2.3, we have

$$[e_1, e_1] = 0, \qquad [e_1, e_2] = me_2 - ne_1,$$

$$[e_2, e_1] = (n-1)e_1 - \frac{m(n-1)}{n}e_2, \qquad [e_2, e_2] = -\frac{n}{m}e_1 + e_2.$$

Then $(A, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra.

Bihom-Lie algebras can also be induced by Lie algebras and their homomorphisms [6].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(L,[-])$ be an ordinary Lie algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$ and let $\alpha,\beta\colon L\to L$ two commuting linear maps such that $\alpha([a,a'])=[\alpha(a),\alpha(a')]$ and $\beta([a,a'])=[\beta(a),\beta(a')]$, for all $a,a'\in L$. Define the linear map $\{-\}\colon L\otimes L\to L$,*

$$\{a,b\}=[\alpha(a),\beta(b)],\qquad \text{for all } \ a,b\in L.$$

Then $L_{(\alpha,\beta)}:=(L,\{-\},\alpha,\beta)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra, called the Yau twist of $(L,[-])$.

Example 2.6. *Let $L=sl(2,\mathbb{K})$, char$\mathbb{K}\neq 2$, whose standard basis consists of*

$$X=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&0\end{pmatrix},Y=\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\1&0\end{pmatrix},H=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $[H,X]=2X,\quad [H,Y]=-2Y,\quad [X,Y]=H$. Two linear maps $\alpha,\beta: L\to L$ are defined by

$$\alpha(X)=X,\quad \alpha(Y)=-k^2X+Y+kH,\quad \alpha(H)=-2kX+H,$$

$$\beta(X)=X,\quad \beta(Y)=-l^2X+Y+lH,\quad \beta(H)=-2lX+H,$$

where k,l are parameters in $\mathbb{K}$. Obviously we have $\alpha\circ\beta=\beta\circ\alpha$. Then for $X,Y,H\in L$, we have

$$\alpha[X,Y]=\alpha(H)=-2kX+H,$$

$$\alpha[H,X]=\alpha(2X)=2X,$$

$$\alpha[H,Y]=\alpha(-2Y)=2k^2X-2Y-2kH.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[\alpha(X),\alpha(Y)]=[X,-k^2X+Y+kH]=H-2kX,$$

$$[\alpha(H),\alpha(X)]=[-2kX+H,X]=2X,$$

$$[\alpha(H),\alpha(Y)]=[-2kX+H,-k^2X+Y+kH]=-2kH+2k^2X-2Y.$$

Therefore, for $a,a'\in L$, we have

$$\alpha[a,a']=[\alpha(a),\alpha(a')].$$

Similarly, we have

$$\beta[a,a']=[\beta(a),\beta(a')].$$

In view of Proposition 2.5, we define the linear map $\{-\}\colon L\otimes L\to L$,

$$\{a,b\}=[\alpha(a),\beta(b)],\qquad \text{for all } \ a,b\in L.$$

Then $L_{(\alpha,\beta)}:=(L,\{-\},\alpha,\beta)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra. Namely, $\big(sl(2,\mathbb{K}),\{-\},\alpha,\beta\big)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra.

Remark 2.7. *We present an example of a Bihom-Lie algebra that cannot be expressed as a Hom-Lie algebra. By Example 2.6,* $\big(L = sl(2,\mathbb{K}), \{-\}, \alpha, \beta\big)$ *is a Bihom-Lie algebra. If we set*

$$\alpha(X) = X, \quad \alpha(Y) = -k^2X + Y + kH, \quad \alpha(H) = -2kX + H,$$

$$\beta(X) = X, \quad \beta(Y) = Y, \quad \beta(H) = H,$$

where k *are parameters in* $\mathbb{K}$*, with* $k \neq 0$*. For every* $a, b \in L$ *we have*

$$\{-\}(a \otimes b) = [\alpha(a), \beta(b)] = [\alpha(a), b].$$

Now we check that $(L, \{-\}, \alpha)$ *is not a Hom-Lie algebra. We only need to verify:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\{\alpha(X), \{Y, H\}\big\} + \big\{\alpha(Y), \{H, X\}\big\} + \big\{\alpha(H), \{X, Y\}\big\} \\
&= \big\{\alpha(X), [\alpha(Y), H]\big\} + \big\{\alpha(Y), [\alpha(H), X]\big\} + \big\{\alpha(H), [\alpha(X), Y]\big\} \\
&= \big\{X, [-k^2X + Y + kH, H]\big\} + \big\{-k^2X + Y + kH, [-2kX + H, X]\big\} + \big\{-2kX + H, [X, Y]\big\} \\
&= \big\{X, 2k^2X + 2Y\big\} + \big\{-k^2X + Y + kH, 2X\big\} + \big\{-2kX + H, H\big\} \\
&= [\alpha(X), 2k^2X + 2Y] + [\alpha(-k^2X + Y + kH), 2X] + [\alpha(-2kX + H), H] \\
&= [X, 2k^2X + 2Y] + [-4k^2X + Y + 2kH, 2X] + [-4kX + H, H] \\
&= 2H + (-2H + 8kX) + 8kX \\
&= 16kX \neq 0 \quad (\textit{since } k \neq 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(L, \{-\}, \alpha)$ *is not a Hom-Lie algebra even though* $\big(L = sl(2,\mathbb{K}), \{-\}, \alpha, \beta\big)$ *is a Bihom-Lie algebra.*

Consider the direct sum of two Bihom-Lie algebras, we have

Proposition 2.8. *Given two Bihom-Lie algebras* $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ *and* $(L', [-]', \alpha', \beta')$*, there is a Bihom-Lie algebra* $(L \oplus L', [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus L'}, \alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta')$*, where the skew-symmetric bilinear map* $[\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus L'} : \wedge^2(L \oplus L') \to L \oplus L'$ *is given by*

$$[(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)]_{L\oplus L'} = ([u_1, u_2], [v_1, v_2]'), \forall u_1, u_2 \in L, v_1, v_2 \in L',$$

and the linear maps $(\alpha + \alpha'), (\beta + \beta') : L \oplus L' \to L \oplus L'$ *are given by*

$$(\alpha + \alpha')(u, v) = \big(\alpha(u), \alpha'(v)\big),$$

$$(\beta + \beta')(u, v) = \big(\beta(u), \beta'(v)\big), \forall u \in L, v \in L'.$$

Proof. It is easy to verify Eq.(2.1)- Eq.(2.3) for $(L \oplus L', [\cdot,\cdot]_{L\oplus L'}, \alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta')$. ∎

Definition 2.9. *A sub-vector space $\mathfrak{H} \subset L$ is a Bihom-Lie sub-algebra of $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ if $\alpha(\mathfrak{H}) \subset \mathfrak{H}, \beta(\mathfrak{H}) \subset \mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ is closed under the bracket operation $[-]$, i.e.*

$$[u, u'] \in \mathfrak{H}, \ \forall \ u, u' \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

A morphism $f : (L, [-], \alpha, \beta) \to (L', [-]', \alpha', \beta')$ of Bihom-Lie algebras is a linear map $f : L \to L'$ such that $\alpha' \circ f = f \circ \alpha$, $\beta' \circ f = f \circ \beta$ and $f([u, v]) = [f(u), f(v)]'$, for all $u, v \in L$.

Denote by $\phi_f \subset L \oplus L'$ the graph of a linear map $f : L \to L'$.

Proposition 2.10. *A linear map $f : (L, [-], \alpha, \beta) \to (L', [-]', \alpha', \beta')$ is a morphism of Bihom-Lie algebras if and only if the graph $\phi_f \subset L \oplus L'$ is a Bihom-Lie-sub-algebra of $(L \oplus L', [\cdot, \cdot]_{L \oplus L'}, \alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta')$.*

Proof. Let $f : (L, [-], \alpha, \beta) \to (L', [-]', \alpha', \beta')$ is a morphism of Bihom-Lie algebras, then for any $u_1, u_2 \in L$, we have

$$[\big(u_1, f(u_1)\big), \big(u_2, f(u_2)\big)]_{L \oplus L'} = ([u_1, u_2], [f(u_1), f(u_2)]') = ([u_1, u_2], f[u_1, u_2])$$

Thus the graph ϕ_f is closed under the bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_{L \oplus L'}$. Furthermore, we have

$$(\alpha + \alpha')\big(u_1, f(u_1)\big) = \big(\alpha(u_1), \alpha' \circ f(u_1)\big) = \big(\alpha(u_1), f \circ \alpha(u_1)\big)$$

which implies that

$$(\alpha + \alpha')(\phi_f) \subset \phi_f.$$

Similarly,

$$(\beta + \beta')(\phi_f) \subset \phi_f.$$

Thus ϕ_f is a Bihom-Lie-sub-algebra of $(L \oplus L', [\cdot, \cdot]_{L \oplus L'}, \alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta')$.

Conversely, if the graph ϕ_f is a Bihom-Lie-sub-algebra of $(L \oplus L', [\cdot, \cdot]_{L \oplus L'}, \alpha + \alpha', \beta + \beta')$, then we have

$$[\big(u_1, f(u_1)\big), \big(u_2, f(u_2)\big)]_{L \oplus L'} = \big([u_1, u_2], [f(u_1), f(u_2)]'\big) \in \phi_f,$$

which implies that

$$[f(u_1), f(u_2)]' = f[u_1, u_2].$$

Furthermore, $(\alpha + \alpha')(\phi_f) \subset \phi_f$ yields that

$$(\alpha + \alpha')\big(u_1, f(u_1)\big) = \big(\alpha(u_1), \alpha' \circ f(u_1)\big) \in \phi_f,$$

which is equivalent to the condition $\alpha' \circ f(u_1) = f \circ \alpha(u_1)$, i.e. $\alpha' \circ f = f \circ \alpha$. Similarly, $\beta' \circ f = f \circ \beta$. Therefore, f is a morphism of Bihom-Lie algebras. ∎

3 Derivations of Bihom-Lie algebras

In this section, we will study derivations of Bihom-Lie algebras. Let $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ be a regular Bihom-Lie algebra. For any integer k, l, denote by α^k the k-times composition of α and β^l the l-times composition of β, i.e.

$$\alpha^k = \alpha \circ \cdots \circ \alpha(k - times), \beta^l = \beta \circ \cdots \circ \beta(l - times).$$

Since the maps α, β commute, we denote by

$$\alpha^k\beta^l = \underbrace{\alpha \circ \cdots \circ \alpha}_{k-times} \circ \underbrace{\beta \circ \cdots \circ \beta}_{l-times}.$$

In particular, $\alpha^0\beta^0 = Id, \alpha^1\beta^1 = \alpha\beta$, $\alpha^{-k}\beta^{-l}$ is the inverse of $\alpha^k\beta^l$.

Definition 3.1. *For any integer k, l, a linear map $D : L \to L$ is called an $\alpha^k\beta^l$-derivation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$, if*

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, \quad D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$D[u, v] = [D(u), \alpha^k\beta^l(v)] + [\alpha^k\beta^l(u), D(v)], \quad \forall u, v \in L. \tag{3.2}$$

Note first that if α and β are bijective, the skew-symmetry condition Eq.(2.2) implies

$$[u, v] = -[\alpha^{-1}\beta(v), \alpha\beta^{-1}(u)]. \tag{3.3}$$

Denote by $Der_{\alpha^k\beta^l}(L)$ the set of $\alpha^k\beta^l$-derivations of the Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$. For any $u \in L$ satisfying $\alpha(u) = u, \beta(u) = u$, define $D_{k,l}(u) \in gl(L)$ by

$$D_{k,l}(u)(v) = -[\alpha^k\beta^l(v), u], \quad \forall v \in L.$$

By Eq.(3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} D_{k,l}(u)(v) &= -[\alpha^k\beta^l(v), u] \\ &= [\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), \alpha^{k+1}\beta^{l-1}(v)] \\ &= [u, \alpha^{k+1}\beta^{l-1}(v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $D_{k,l}(u)$ is an $\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l$-derivation, which we call an *inner* $\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l$-derivation. In fact, we have

$$D_{k,l}(u)(\alpha(v)) = -[\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l(v), u] = -\alpha[\alpha^k\beta^l(v), u] = \alpha \circ D_{k,l}(u)(v),$$

$$D_{k,l}(u)(\beta(v)) - [\alpha^k\beta^{l+1}(v), u] = -\beta[\alpha^k\beta^l(v), u] = \beta \circ D_{k,l}(u)(v),$$

which implies that Eq.(3.1) in Definition 3.1 is satisfied. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{k,l}(u)([v,w]) &= -\big[\alpha^k\beta^l[v,w],u\big] = \big[u,\alpha^{k+1}\beta^{l-1}[v,w]\big]\\
&= \big[\beta^2(u),[\beta\alpha^{k+1}\beta^{l-2}(v),\alpha\alpha^k\beta^{l-1}(w)]\big]\\
&= -\big[\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l(v),[\alpha^k\beta^l(w),\alpha(u)]\big] - \big[\alpha^k\beta^{l+1}(w),[\beta(u),\alpha^{k+2}\beta^{l-2}(v)]\big]\\
&= -\big[\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l(v),[\alpha^k\beta^l(w),u]\big] + \big[[u,\alpha^{k+1}\beta^{l-1}(v)],\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l(w)\big]\\
&= [\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l(v),D_{k,l}(u)(w)] + [D_{k,l}(u)(v),\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l(w)].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D_{k,l}(u)$ is an $\alpha^{k+1}\beta^l$-derivation. Denote by $Inn_{\alpha^k\beta^l}(L)$ the set of inner $\alpha^k\beta^l$-derivations, i.e.

$$Inn_{\alpha^k\beta^l}(L) = \{-[\alpha^{k-1}\beta^l(\cdot),u] \mid u\in L, \alpha(u)=u, \beta(u)=u\}.$$

For any $D\in Der_{\alpha^k\beta^l}(L)$ and $D'\in Der_{\alpha^s\beta^t}(L)$, define their commutator $[D,D']$ as usual:

$$[D,D'] = D\circ D' - D'\circ D. \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any $D\in Der_{\alpha^k\beta^l}(L)$ and $D'\in Der_{\alpha^s\beta^t}(L)$, we have*

$$[D,D']\in Der_{\alpha^{k+s}\beta^{l+t}}(L).$$

Proof. For any $u,v\in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[D,D']([u,v]) &= D\circ D'([u,v]) - D'\circ D([u,v])\\
&= D([D'(u),\alpha^s\beta^t(v)] + [\alpha^s\beta^t(u),D'(v)])\\
&\quad -D'([D(u),\alpha^k\beta^l(v)] + [\alpha^s\beta^t(u),D(v)])\\
&= [D\circ D'(u),\alpha^k\beta^l\alpha^s\beta^t(v)] + [\alpha^k\beta^l D'(u),D\big(\alpha^s\beta^t(v)\big)]\\
&\quad +[D\big(\alpha^s\beta^t(u)\big),\alpha^k\beta^l D'(v)] + [\alpha^k\beta^l\alpha^s\beta^t(u),D\circ D'(v)]\\
&\quad -[D'\circ D(u),\alpha^s\beta^t\alpha^k\beta^l(v)] - [\alpha^s\beta^t D(u),D'\big(\alpha^k\beta^l(v)\big)]\\
&\quad -[D'\big(\alpha^k\beta^l(u)\big),\alpha^s\beta^t D(v)] - [\alpha^s\beta^t\alpha^k\beta^l(u),D'\circ D(v)]
\end{aligned}$$

Since any two of maps D,D',α,β commute, we have

$$\beta^l\circ D' = D'\circ\beta^l, \alpha^k\circ D' = D'\circ\alpha^k, \beta^t\circ D = D\circ\beta^t, \alpha^s\circ D = D\circ\alpha^s$$

Therefore,we have

$$[D,D']([u,v]) = \big[[D,D'](u),\alpha^{k+s}\beta^{l+t}(v)\big] + \big[\alpha^{k+s}\beta^{l+t}(u),[D,D'](v)\big].$$

Furthermore, it is straightforward to see that

$$[D, D'] \circ \alpha = D \circ D' \circ \alpha - D' \circ D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D \circ D' - \alpha \circ D' \circ D = \alpha \circ [D, D'],$$

$$[D, D'] \circ \beta = D \circ D' \circ \beta - D' \circ D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D \circ D' - \beta \circ D' \circ D = \beta \circ [D, D'],$$

which yields that $[D, D'] \in Der_{\alpha^{k+s}\beta^{l+t}}(L)$. ∎

For any integer k, l, denote by $Der(L) = \bigoplus_{k,l} Der_{\alpha^k\beta^l}(L)$. Obviously, $Der(L)$ is a Lie algebra, in which the Lie bracket is given by Eq.(3.4).

In the end, we consider the derivation extension of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ and give an application of the $\alpha^0\beta^1$-derivation $Der_{\alpha^0\beta^1}(L)$.

For any linear maps $D, \alpha, \beta : L \to L$, where α and β are inverse, consider the vector space $L \oplus \mathbb{R}D$. Define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_D$ on $L \oplus \mathbb{R}D$ by

$$[u, v]_D = [u, v], \quad [D, u]_D = -[\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), \alpha\beta^{-1}D]_D = D(u), \quad \forall u, v \in L.$$

Define two linear maps $\alpha_D, \beta_D : L \oplus \mathbb{R}D \to L \oplus \mathbb{R}D$ by

$$\alpha_D(u, D) = \big(\alpha(u), D\big), \quad \beta_D(u, D) = \big(\beta(u), D\big).$$

And the linear maps α, β involved in the definition of the bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_D$ are required to be multiplicative, that is

$$\alpha \circ [D, u]_{D=} = [\alpha \circ D, \alpha(u)]_D, \quad \beta \circ [D, u]_D = [\beta \circ D, \beta(u)]_D.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u, D]_D &= -[\alpha^{-1}\beta D, \alpha\beta^{-1}(u)]_D \\ &= -\alpha^{-1}\beta \circ [D, \alpha^2\beta^{-2}(u)]_D \\ &= -\alpha^{-1}\beta \circ D\big(\alpha^2\beta^{-2}(u)\big) \\ &= -\alpha\beta^{-1} \circ D(u). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3. *With the above notations, $(L \oplus \mathbb{R}D, [\cdot, \cdot]_D, \alpha_D, \beta_D)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra if and only if D is an $\alpha^0\beta^1$-derivation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \alpha, \beta)$.*

Proof. For any $u, v \in L, m, n \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\alpha_D \circ \beta_D(u, mD) = \alpha_D \circ \big(\beta(u), mD\big) = \big(\alpha \circ \beta(u), mD\big),$$

and

$$\beta_D \circ \alpha_D(u, mD) = \beta_D \circ \big(\alpha(u), mD\big) = \big(\beta \circ \alpha(u), mD\big).$$

Hence, we have

$$\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha \Longleftrightarrow \alpha_D \circ \beta_D = \beta_D \circ \alpha_D.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_D[(u, mD), (v, nD)]_D &= \alpha_D([u, v]_D + [u, nD]_D + [mD, v]_D) \\ &= \alpha_D\big([u, v] - nD \circ \alpha\beta^{-1}(u) + mD(v)\big) \\ &= \alpha([u, v]) - n\alpha \circ D \circ \alpha\beta^{-1}(u) + m\alpha \circ D(v),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\alpha_D(u, mD), \alpha_D(v, nD)]_D &= [(\alpha(u), mD), (\alpha(v), nD)]_D \\ &= [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_D + [\alpha(u), nD]_D + [mD, \alpha(v)]_D \\ &= [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)] - \alpha\beta^{-1} \circ nD \circ \alpha(u) + mD \circ \alpha(v).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha([u, v]) = [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]$, thus

$$\alpha_D[(u, mD), (v, nD)]_D = [\alpha_D(u, mD), \alpha_D(v, nD)]_D$$

if and only if

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D.$$

Similarly,

$$\beta_D[(u, mD), (v, nD)]_D = [\beta_D(u, mD), \beta_D(v, nD)]_D$$

if and only if

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\beta_D(u, mD), \alpha_D(v, nD)]_D &= [(\beta(u), mD), (\alpha(v), nD)]_D \\ &= [\beta(u), \alpha(v)]_D + [\beta(u), nD]_D + [mD, \alpha(v)]_D \\ &= -[\beta(v), \alpha(u)] - \alpha\beta^{-1} \circ nD \circ \beta(u) + mD \circ \alpha(v),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\beta_D(v, nD), \alpha_D(u, mD)]_D &= [(\beta(v), nD), (\alpha(u), mD)]_D \\ &= [\beta(v), \alpha(u)]_D + [\beta(v), mD]_D + [nD, \alpha(u)]_D \\ &= [\beta(v), \alpha(u)] - \alpha\beta^{-1} \circ mD \circ \beta(v) + nD \circ \alpha(u),\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$[\beta_D(u, mD), \alpha_D(v, nD)]_D = -[\beta_D(v, nD), \alpha_D(u, mD)]_D$$

if and only if

$$D \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ D, D \circ \beta = \beta \circ D.$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big[\beta_D^2(u,mD),[\beta_D(v,nD),\alpha_D(w,lD)]_D\big]_D+\big[\beta_D^2(v,nD),[\beta_D(w,lD),\alpha_D(w,mD)]_D\big]_D\\
&+\big[\beta_D^2(w,lD),[\beta_D(u,mD),\alpha_D(v,nD)]_D\big]_D\\
=&\big[(\beta^2(u),mD),[(\beta(v),nD),(\alpha(w),lD)]_D\big]_D\\
&+\big[(\beta^2(v),nD),[(\beta(w),lD),(\alpha(u),mD)]_D\big]_D\\
&+\big[(\beta^2(w),lD),[(\beta(u),mD),(\alpha(v),nD)]_D\big]_D\\
=&\big[(\beta^2(u),mD),[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]-l\alpha\circ D(v)+nD\circ\alpha(w)\big]_D\\
&+\big[(\beta^2(v),nD),[\beta(w),\alpha(u)]-m\alpha\circ D(w)+lD\circ\alpha(u)\big]_D\\
&+\big[(\beta^2(w),lD),[\beta(u),\alpha(v)]-n\alpha\circ D(u)+mD\circ\alpha(v)\big]_D\\
=&\big[\beta^2(u),[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big]-[\beta^2(u),l\alpha\circ D(v)]+[\beta^2(u),nD\circ\alpha(w)]\\
&+\big[mD,[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big]_D-[mD,l\alpha\circ D(v)]_D+[mD,nD\alpha(w)]_D\\
&+\big[\beta^2(v),[\beta(w),\alpha(u)]\big]-[\beta^2(v),m\alpha\circ D(w)]+[\beta^2(v),lD\circ\alpha(u)]\\
&+\big[nD,[\beta(w),\alpha(u)]\big]_D-[nD,m\alpha\circ D(w)]_D+[nD,lD\alpha(u)]_D\\
&+\big[\beta^2(w),[\beta(u),\alpha(v)]\big]-[\beta^2(w),n\alpha\circ D(u)]+[\beta^2(w),mD\circ\alpha(v)]\\
&+\big[lD,[\beta(u),\alpha(v)]\big]_D-[lD,n\alpha\circ D(u)]_D+[lD,mD\alpha(v)]_D\\
=&\big[\beta^2(u),[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big]-[\beta^2(u),l\alpha\circ D(v)]+[\beta^2(u),nD\circ\alpha(w)]\\
&+\big[mD,[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big]_D-ml\alpha\circ D^2(v)+mnD^2\circ\alpha(w)\\
&+\big[\beta^2(v),[\beta(w),\alpha(u)]\big]-[\beta^2(v),m\alpha\circ D(w)]+[\beta^2(v),lD\circ\alpha(u)]\\
&+\big[nD,[\beta(w),\alpha(u)]\big]_D-mn\alpha\circ D^2(w)+nlD^2\circ\alpha(u)\\
&+\big[\beta^2(w),[\beta(u),\alpha(v)]\big]-[\beta^2(w),n\alpha\circ D(u)]+[\beta^2(w),mD\circ\alpha(v)]\\
&+\big[lD,[\beta(u),\alpha(v)]\big]_D-ln\alpha\circ D^2(u)+lmD^2\circ\alpha(v),
\end{aligned}
$$

If D is an $\alpha^0\beta^1$-derivation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L,[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha,\beta)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big[mD,[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big]_D&=mD[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\\
&=[mD\circ\beta(v),\alpha^0\beta\alpha(w)]+[\alpha^0\beta^2(v),mD\circ\alpha(w)]\\
&=-[\alpha^0\beta^2(w),mD\circ\alpha(v)]+[\alpha^0\beta^2(v),mD\circ\alpha(w)]\\
&=-[\beta^2(w),mD\circ\alpha(v)]+[\beta^2(v),mD\circ\alpha(w)].
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\big[nD,[\beta(w),\alpha(u)]\big]_D=-[\beta^2(u),nD\circ\alpha(w)]+[\beta^2(w),n\alpha\circ D(u)],
$$

$$\big[lD, [\beta(u), \alpha(v)]\big]_D = -[\beta^2(v), lD \circ \alpha(u)] + [\beta^2(u), l\alpha \circ D(v)].$$

Therefore, the Bihom-Jacobi identity is satisfied if and only if D is an $\alpha^0\beta^1$-derivation of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$. Thus $(L \oplus \mathbb{R}D, [\cdot,\cdot]_D, \alpha_D, \beta_D)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra if and only if D is an $\alpha^0\beta^1$-derivation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$. ∎

4 Representations of Bihom-Lie algebras

Lie algebra cohomology was introduced by Chevalley and Eilenberg [2]. For Hom-Lie algebra, the cohomology theory has been given by [11, 12, 15]. In this section we study representations of Bihom-Lie algebras and give the corresponding coboundary operators. We can also construct the semidirect product of Bihom-Lie algebras.

Definition 4.1. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ be a Bihom-Lie algebra. A representation of L is a 4-tuple $(M, \rho, \alpha_M, \beta_M)$, where M is a linear space, $\alpha_M, \beta_M : M \to M$ are two commuting linear maps and $\rho : L \to End(M)$ is a linear map such that, for all $x, y \in L$, we have*

$$\rho\big(\alpha(x)\big) \circ \alpha_M = \alpha_M \circ \rho(x), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\rho\big(\beta(x)\big) \circ \beta_M = \beta_M \circ \rho(x), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\rho([\beta(x), y]) \circ \beta_M = \rho\big(\alpha\beta(x)\big) \circ \rho(y) - \rho\big(\beta(y)\big) \circ \rho\big(\alpha(x)\big). \tag{4.3}$$

Let $(L, [-], \alpha, \beta)$ be a regular Bihom-Lie algebra. The set of k-cochains on L with values in M, which we denote by $C^k(L; M)$, is the set of skew-symmetric k-linear maps form $L \times \cdots \times L(k - times)$ to M:

$$C^k(L; M) \triangleq \{f : \wedge^k L \to M \text{ is a linear map}\}.$$

A k-Bihom cochain on L with values in M is defined to be a k-cochain $f \in C^k(L; M)$ such that it is compatible with α, β and α_M, β_M in the sense that $\alpha_M \circ f = f \circ \alpha$, $\beta_M \circ f = f \circ \beta$, i.e.

$$\alpha_M\big(f(u_1, \cdots, u_k)\big) = f\big(\alpha(u_1), \cdots, \alpha(u_k)\big),$$
$$\beta_M\big(f(u_1, \cdots, u_k)\big) = f\big(\beta(u_1), \cdots, \beta(u_k)\big).$$

Denote by $C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L; M) \triangleq \{f \in C^k(L; M) \mid \alpha_M \circ f = f \circ \alpha, \beta_M \circ f = f \circ \beta\}$.

Define $d_\rho : C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L; M) \to C^{k+1}(L; M)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} d_\rho f(u_1, \cdots, u_{k+1}) = &\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^i \rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_i)\big)\big(f(u_1, \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, u_{k+1})\big) \\ &+ \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j+1} f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i), u_j], \beta(u_1), \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \widehat{u_j}, \cdots, \beta(u_{k+1})\big). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *With the above notations, for any $f \in C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M)$, we have*

$$(d_\rho f)\circ\alpha = \alpha_M \circ (d_\rho f),$$

$$(d_\rho f)\circ\beta = \beta_M \circ (d_\rho f).$$

Thus we obtain a well-defined map

$$d_\rho : C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M) \to C^{k+1}_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M).$$

Proof. For any $f \in C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M)$, we have $\alpha_M \circ f = f\circ\alpha$, $\beta_M \circ f = f\circ\beta$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_\rho f\big(\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^i\rho\big(\alpha^2\beta^{k-1}(u_i)\big)\Big(f\big(\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(u_i)},\cdots,\alpha(u_{k+1})\big)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha(u_i),\alpha(u_j)],\beta\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(u_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(u_j)},\cdots,\beta\alpha(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^i\rho\big(\alpha^2\beta^{k-1}(u_i)\big)\circ\alpha_M\circ f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+1})\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}f\big([\beta(u_i),\alpha(u_j)],\beta\alpha(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(u_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(u_j)},\cdots,\beta\alpha(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^i\alpha_M\circ\rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_i)\big)\big(f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+1})\big)\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}\alpha_M\circ f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(u_i)},\cdots,\widehat{\alpha(u_j)},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\,\alpha_M\circ d_\rho f(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_\rho f\big(\beta(u_1),\cdots,\beta(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^i\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\Big(f\big(\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+1})\big)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta\beta(u_i),\beta(u_j)],\beta^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta^2(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^i\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\circ\beta_M\circ f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+1})\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(u_i),\beta(u_j)],\beta^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta^2(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^i\beta_M\circ\rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_i)\big)\big(f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+1})\big)\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}\beta_M\circ f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+1})\big)\\
=&\,\beta_M\circ d_\rho f(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ∎

Proposition 4.3. *The map d_ρ is a coboundary operator, i.e. $d_\rho^2=0$.*

Proof. For any $f\in C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M)$, we have $d_\rho^2 f\in C^{k+2}_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M)$. By straightforward computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_\rho^2 f(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+2})\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{k+2}(-1)^i\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\big(d_\rho f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+2})\big)\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}d_\rho f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\big(d_\rho f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+2})\big)\\
=&\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(-1)^p\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\circ\rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_p)\big)(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+2}) \qquad (4.4)\\
&+\sum_{p=i+1}^{k+2}(-1)^{p-1}\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\circ\rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_p)\big)\\
&\quad\times(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,u_{k+2}) \qquad (4.5)\\
&+\sum_{i<p<q}(-1)^{p+q+1}\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\\
&\quad\times\Big(f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_p),u_q],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_q},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{p<q<i}(-1)^{p+q+1}\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\\
&\quad\times\Big(f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_p),u_q],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_q},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{p<i<q}(-1)^{p+q}\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\\
&\quad\times\Big(f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_p),u_q],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_q},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d_\rho f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\\
&=-\rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}[\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j]\big)\Big(f\big(\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big) &(4.6)\\
&+\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{p+1}\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_p)\big)\Big(f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{p=i+1}^{j-1}(-1)^{p}\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_p)\big)\Big(f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{j+1}^{k+2}(-1)^{p-1}\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_p)\big)\Big(f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big)\\
&+\sum_{p=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{p+1}f\big(\big[[\alpha^{-2}\beta^2(u_i),\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_j)],\beta(u_p)\big],\beta^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta^2(u_{k+2})\big)\\
&&(4.7)\\
&+\sum_{p=i+1}^{j-1}(-1)^{p}f\big(\big[[\alpha^{-2}\beta^2(u_i),\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_j)],\beta(u_p)\big],\beta^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta^2(u_{k+2})\big)\\
&&(4.8)\\
&+\sum_{p=j+1}^{k+2}(-1)^{p-1}f\big(\big[[\alpha^{-2}\beta^2(u_i),\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_j)],\beta(u_p)\big],\beta^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\widehat{u_p},\cdots,\beta^2(u_{k+2})\big)\\
&&(4.9)\\
&+\sum_{p,q}(\pm)f([\alpha^{-1}\beta\beta(u_p),\beta(u_q)],\beta[\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta^2(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_{i,j,p,q}},\cdots,\beta^2(u_{k+2})). &(4.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

In (4.10) , $\widehat{u_{i,j,p,q}}$ means that we omit the items u_i,u_j,u_p,u_q. By the fact that $[\alpha^{-1}\beta\beta(u_p),\beta(u_q)]=\beta[\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_p),u_q]$, we get

$$\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}(4.10)=0.$$

By the Bihom-Jacobi identity,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big[\beta^2(a),[\beta(a'),\alpha(a'')]\big]\\
&=\big[\beta^2(a),\alpha[\alpha^{-1}\beta(a'),a'']\big]\\
&=-\big[\beta[\alpha^{-1}\beta(a'),a''],\alpha\beta(a)\big]\\
&=-\big[[\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(a'),\beta(a'')],\alpha\beta(a)\big]\\
&=\big[[\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(a''),\beta(a')],\alpha\beta(a)\big],
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$\big[\beta^2(a),[\beta(a'),\alpha(a'')]\big]+\big[\beta^2(a'),[\beta(a''),\alpha(a)]\big]+\big[\beta^2(a''),[\beta(a),\alpha(a')]\big]=0$$

is equivalent to

$$\big[[\alpha^{-2}\beta^2(a''),\alpha^{-1}\beta(a')],\beta(a)\big]+\big[[\alpha^{-2}\beta^2(a'),\alpha^{-1}\beta(a)],\beta(a'')\big]+\big[[\alpha^{-2}\beta^2(a),\alpha^{-1}\beta(a'')],\beta(a')\big]=0.$$

We get that

$$\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}\big((4.7)+(4.8)+(4.9)\big)=0.$$

At last, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(4.6)&=-\rho\big([\beta^k(u_i),\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_j)]\big)\Big(f\big(\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+2})\big)\Big)\\&=-\rho\big([\beta^k(u_i),\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_j)]\big)\circ\beta_M\circ f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,u_{k+2})\\&=-\big[\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_i)\big)\circ\rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_j)\big)-\rho\big(\alpha\beta^k(u_j)\big)\circ\rho\big(\alpha\beta^{k-1}(u_i)\big)\big]\\&\quad\times f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,u_{k+2}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+2}(-1)^i\big((4.4)+(4.5)\big)+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}(4.6)=0.$$

The sum of the other six items is zero. Therefore, we have $d_\rho^2=0$. The proof is completed. ∎

Associated to the representation ρ, we obtain the complex $\big(C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M),d_\rho\big)$. Denote the set of closed k-Bihom-cochains by $Z^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;\rho)$ and the set of exact k-Bihom-cochains by $B^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;\rho)$. Denote the corresponding cohomology by

$$H^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;\rho)=Z^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;\rho)/B^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;\rho),$$

where

$$Z^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;\rho)=\{f\in C^k_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M):d_\rho f=0\},$$

$$B^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;\rho)=\{d_\rho f':f'\in C^{k-1}_{\substack{(\alpha,\alpha_M)\\(\beta,\beta_M)}}(L;M)\}.$$

In the case of Lie algebras, we can form semidirect products when given representations. Similarly, we have

Proposition 4.4. *Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ be a Bihom-Lie algebra and $(M, \rho, \alpha_M, \beta_M)$ a representation of L. Assume that the maps α and β_M are bijective. Then $L \ltimes M := (L \oplus M, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha \oplus \alpha_M, \beta \oplus \beta_M)$ is a Bihom-Lie algebra , where $\alpha \oplus \alpha_M, \beta \oplus \beta_M : L \oplus M \to L \oplus M$ are defined by $(\alpha \oplus \alpha_M)(x, a) = \big(\alpha(x), \alpha_M(a)\big)$ and $(\beta \oplus \beta_M)(x, a) = \big(\beta(x), \beta_M(a)\big)$, and, for all $x, y \in L$ and $a, b \in M$, the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is defined by*

$$[(x, a), (y, b)] = \Big([x, y], \quad \rho(x)(b) - \rho\big(\alpha^{-1}\beta(y)\big)\big(\alpha_M\beta_M^{-1}(a)\big)\Big).$$

The proof is straightforward from the definition of the Bihom-Lie algebra, we are no longer a detailed proof.

5 The Trivial Representations of Bihom-Lie Algebras

In this section, we study the trivial representation of regular Bihom-Lie algebras. Now let $M = \mathbb{R}$, then we have $End(M) = \mathbb{R}$. Any $\alpha_M, \beta_M \in End(M)$ is just a real number, which we denote by r_1, r_2 respectively. Let $\rho : L \to End(M) = \mathbb{R}$ be the zero map. Obviously, ρ is a representation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ with respect to any $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We will always assume that $r_1 = r_2 = 1$. We call this representation the trivial representation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$.

Associated to the trivial representation, the set of k-cochains on L, which we denote by $C^k(L)$, is the set of skew-symmetric k-linear maps from $L \times \cdots \times L$ (k-times) to $\mathbb{R}$, namely,

$$C^k(L) \triangleq \{f : \wedge^k L \to \mathbb{R} \ is\ a\ linear\ map\}.$$

i.e.$C^k(L) = \wedge^k L^*$. The set of k-Bihom-cochains is given by

$$C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = \{f \in \wedge^k L^* \mid f \circ \alpha = f, f \circ \beta = f\}.$$

The corresponding coboundary operator $d_T : C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L) \to C^{k+1}_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &d_T f(u_1, \cdots, u_{k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j+1} f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i), u_j], \beta(u_1), \cdots, \widehat{u_i}, \cdots, \widehat{u_j}, \cdots, \beta(u_{k+1})\big). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $Z^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$ and $B^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$ the corresponding closed k-Bihom-cochains and exact k-Bihom-cochains respectively. Denote the resulting cohomology by $H^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$. Namely,

$$Z^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = \{f \in C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L) : d_T f = 0\},$$

$$B^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = \{d_T f' : f' \in C^{k-1}_{\alpha,\beta}(L)\},$$

$$H^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = Z^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L)/B^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L).$$

Proposition 5.1. *With the above notations, associated to the trivial representation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra* $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ *, we have*

$$H^0_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = \mathbb{R},$$
$$H^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = \{f \in C^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L) \mid f\big([\beta(u), \alpha(v)]\big) = 0, \forall u, v \in L\}.$$

Proof. Obviously, any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a 0-Bihom-cochain. By the definition of coboundary operator d_T, we have $d_T s = 0$. Thus we have $H^0_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = \mathbb{R}$. For any $f \in C^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$, we have

$$d_T f(u, v) = f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), v]\big) = f \circ \alpha\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), v]\big) = f\big([\beta(u), \alpha(v)]\big).$$

Therefore, f is closed if and only if $f\big([\beta(u), \alpha(v)]\big) = 0,\ \forall u, v \in L$. The conclusion follows from the fact that there is no exact 1-Bihom-cochain. i.e.$B^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = 0$. Thus we have $H^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L) = \{f \in C^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L) \mid f\big([\beta(u), \alpha(v)]\big) = 0, \forall u, v \in L\}$. ∎

In the following we consider central extensions of the multiplicative Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$. Obviously, $(\mathbb{R}, 0, 1, 1)$ is a multiplicative Bihom-Lie algebra with the trivial bracket and the identity morphism. Let $\theta \in C^2_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$, we have $\theta \circ \alpha = \theta$ and $\theta \circ \beta = \theta$. We consider the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = L \oplus \mathbb{R}$ with the following bracket

$$[(u, s), (v, t)]_\theta = \big([u, v], \theta(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v)\big), \quad \forall u, v \in L, s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define $\alpha_\mathfrak{g}, \beta_\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ by $\alpha_\mathfrak{g}(u, s) = \big(\alpha(u), s\big), \beta_\mathfrak{g}(u, s) = \big(\beta(u), s\big)$.

Theorem 5.2. *With the above notations,* $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha_\mathfrak{g}, \beta_\mathfrak{g})$ *is a multiplicative Bihom-Lie algebra if and only if* $\theta \in C^2_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$ *is a* 2*-cocycle associated to the trivial representation, i.e.*

$$d_T\theta = 0.$$

Proof. Obviously, since $\alpha \circ \beta = \beta \circ \alpha$, we have $\alpha_\mathfrak{g} \circ \beta_\mathfrak{g} = \beta_\mathfrak{g} \circ \alpha_\mathfrak{g}$. Then we show that $\alpha_\mathfrak{g}$ is an algebra morphism with the respect to the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$. On one hand, we have

$$\alpha_\mathfrak{g}[(u, s), (v, t)]_\theta = \alpha_\mathfrak{g}\big([u, v], \theta(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v)\big) = \big(\alpha([u, v]), \theta(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v)\big).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$[\alpha_\mathfrak{g}(u, s), \alpha_\mathfrak{g}(v, t)]_\theta = \big[\big(\alpha(u), s\big), \big(\alpha(v), t\big)\big]_\theta = \Big([\alpha(u), \alpha(v)], \theta\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha(u), \alpha(v)\big)\Big).$$

Since α is an algebra morphism and $\theta\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}\alpha(u), \alpha(v)\big) = \theta(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v)$, we deduce that $\alpha_\mathfrak{g}$ is an algebra morphism. Similarly, we have $\beta_\mathfrak{g}$ is also an algebra morphism.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\beta_{\mathfrak{g}}(u,s),\alpha_{\mathfrak{g}}(v,t)]_\theta &= [\big(\beta(u),s\big),\big(\alpha(v),t\big)]_\theta\\ &= \Big([\beta(u),\alpha(v)],\theta\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}\beta(u),\alpha(v)\big)\Big)\\ &= \Big([\beta(u),\alpha(v)],\theta\big(\alpha(u),\alpha(v)\big)\Big)\\ &= \Big([\beta(u),\alpha(v)],\theta(u,v)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}[\beta_{\mathfrak{g}}(v,t),\alpha_{\mathfrak{g}}(u,s)]_\theta &= [\big(\beta(v),t\big),\big(\alpha(u),s\big)]_\theta\\ &= \Big([\beta(v),\alpha(u)],\theta\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}\beta(v),\alpha(u)\big)\Big)\\ &= \Big([\beta(v),\alpha(u)],\theta\big(\alpha(v),\alpha(u)\big)\Big)\\ &= \Big([\beta(v),\alpha(u)],\theta(v,u)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), since θ is a skew-symmetric 2-linear map, we obtain that

$$[\beta_{\mathfrak{g}}(u,s),\alpha_{\mathfrak{g}}(v,t)]_\theta = -[\beta_{\mathfrak{g}}(v,t),\alpha_{\mathfrak{g}}(u,s)]_\theta.$$

By direct computations, on the hand,we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[\beta^2_{\mathfrak{g}}(u,s),[\beta_{\mathfrak{g}}(v,t),\alpha_{\mathfrak{g}}(w,m)]_\theta\big]_\theta + c.p.\big((u,s),(v,t),(w,m)\big)\\ =\ &\big[\big(\beta^2(u),s\big),[\big(\beta(v),t\big),\big(\alpha(w),m\big)]_\theta\big]_\theta + c.p.\big((u,s),(v,t),(w,m)\big)\\ =\ &\Big[\big(\beta^2(u),s\big),\Big([\beta(v),\alpha(w)],\theta\big(\alpha(v),\alpha(w)\big)\Big)\Big]_\theta + c.p.\big((u,s),(v,t),(w,m)\big)\\ =\ &\big[\beta^2(u),[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big] + c.p.(u,v,w) + \theta\big(\alpha\beta(u),[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big) + c.p.(u,v,w).\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the Bihom-Jacobi identity of L, $[\cdot,\cdot]_\theta$ satisfies the Bihom-Jacobi identity if and only if

$$\theta\big(\alpha\beta(u),[\beta(v),\alpha(w)]\big) + \theta\big(\alpha\beta(v),[\beta(w),\alpha(u)]\big) + \theta\big(\alpha\beta(w),[\beta(u),\alpha(v)]\big) = 0.$$

Namely,

$$\theta\big(\beta(u),[\alpha^{-1}\beta(v),w]\big) + \theta\big(\beta(v),[\alpha^{-1}\beta(w),u]\big) + \theta\big(\beta(w),[\alpha^{-1}\beta(u),v]\big) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&d_T\theta(u,v,w)\\ =\ &\theta\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u),v],\beta(w)\big) - \theta\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u),w],\beta(v)\big) + \theta\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(v),w],\beta(u)\big)\\ =\ &-\theta\big(\beta(w),[\alpha^{-1}\beta(u),v]\big) - \theta\big(\beta(v),[\alpha^{-1}\beta(w),u]\big) - \theta\big(\beta(u),[\alpha^{-1}\beta(v),w]\big)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha_\mathfrak{g}, \beta_\mathfrak{g})$ is a multiplicative Bihom-Lie algebra if and only if $\theta \in C^2_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$ is a 2-cocycle associated to the trivial representation, i.e. $d_T\theta = 0$. ∎

We call the multiplicative Bihom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_\theta, \alpha_\mathfrak{g}, \beta_\mathfrak{g})$ the *central extension* of $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ by the abelian Bihom-Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R}, 0, 1, 1)$.

Proposition 5.3. *For $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in Z^2(L)$, if $\theta_1 - \theta_2$ is a exact, the corresponding two central extensions $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\theta_1}, \alpha_\mathfrak{g}, \beta_\mathfrak{g})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]_{\theta_2}, \alpha_\mathfrak{g}, \beta_\mathfrak{g})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Assume that $\theta_1 - \theta_2 = d_T f$, $f \in C^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_1\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v\big) - \theta_2\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v\big)\\
&= d_T f\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v\big)\\
&= f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta \circ \alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v]\big)\\
&= f([u, v]).
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\varphi_\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\varphi_\mathfrak{g}(u, s) = \big(u, s - f(u)\big).$$

Obviously, $\varphi_\mathfrak{g}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces. The fact that $\varphi_\mathfrak{g}$ is a morphism of the Bihom-Lie algebra follows from the fact $\theta \circ \alpha = \theta, \theta \circ \beta = \theta$. More precisely, we have

$$\varphi_\mathfrak{g} \circ \alpha_\mathfrak{g}(u, s) = \varphi_\mathfrak{g}\big(\alpha(u), s\big) = \big(\alpha(u), s - f \circ \alpha(u)\big) = \big(\alpha(u), s - f(u)\big).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\alpha_\mathfrak{g} \circ \varphi_\mathfrak{g}(u, s) = \alpha_\mathfrak{g}\big(u, s - f(u)\big) = \big(\alpha(u), s - f(u)\big).$$

Thus, we obtain that $\varphi_\mathfrak{g} \circ \alpha_\mathfrak{g} = \alpha_\mathfrak{g} \circ \varphi_\mathfrak{g}$. Similarly, we have $\varphi_\mathfrak{g} \circ \beta_\mathfrak{g} = \beta_\mathfrak{g} \circ \varphi_\mathfrak{g}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_\mathfrak{g}[(u, s), (v, t)]_{\theta_1} &= \varphi_\mathfrak{g}\Big([u, v], \theta_1\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v\big)\Big)\\
&= \Big([u, v], \theta_1\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v\big) - f([u, v])\Big)\\
&= \Big([u, v], \theta_2\big(\alpha\beta^{-1}(u), v\big)\Big)\\
&= [\varphi_\mathfrak{g}(u, s), \varphi_\mathfrak{g}(v, t)]_{\theta_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\varphi_\mathfrak{g}$ is also an isomorphism of Bihom-Lie algebras. ∎

6 The Adjoint Representations of Bihom-Lie Algebras

Let $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$ be a regular Bihom-Lie algebra. We consider that L represents on itself via the bracket with respect to the morphisms α, β.

Definition 6.1. *For any integer s, t, the $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint representation of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha, \beta)$, which we denote by $ad_{s,t}$, is defined by*

$$ad_{s,t}(u)(v) = [\alpha^s\beta^t(u), v], \quad \forall u, v \in L.$$

Lemma 6.2. *With the above notations, we have*

$$ad_{s,t}\big(\alpha(u)\big) \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ ad_{s,t}(u);$$

$$ad_{s,t}\big(\beta(u)\big) \circ \beta = \beta \circ ad_{s,t}(u);$$

$$ad_{s,t}\big([\beta(u), v]\big) \circ \beta = ad_{s,t}\big(\alpha\beta(u)\big) \circ ad_{s,t}(v) - ad_{s,t}\big(\beta(v)\big) \circ ad_{s,t}\big(\alpha(u)\big).$$

Thus the definition of $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint representation is well defined.

Proof. For any $u, v, w \in L$, first we show that $ad_{s,t}\big(\alpha(u)\big) \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ ad_{s,t}(u)$.

$$\begin{aligned} ad_{s,t}\big(\alpha(u)\big) \circ \alpha(v) &= [\alpha^s\beta^t \circ \alpha(u), \alpha(v)] \\ &= \alpha\big([\alpha^s\beta^t(u), v]\big) = \alpha \circ ad_{s,t}(u)(v). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$ad_{s,t}\big(\beta(u)\big) \circ \beta(v) = \beta \circ ad_{s,t}(u)(v).$$

Note that the skew-symmetry condition implies

$$ad_{s,t}(u)(v) = [\alpha^s\beta^t(u), v] = -[\alpha^{-1}\beta(v), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(u)], \quad \forall u, v \in L.$$

On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big(ad_{s,t}([\beta(u), v]) \circ \beta\big)(w) &= ad_{s,t}([\beta(u), v])\big(\beta(w)\big) \\ &= -\big[\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(w), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}[\beta(u), v]\big] \\ &= -\big[\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(w), [\alpha^{s+1}\beta^t(u), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(v)]\big]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \big(ad_{s,t}(\alpha\beta(u)) \circ ad_{s,t}(v)\big)(w) - \big(ad_{s,t}(\beta(v)) \circ ad_{s,t}(\alpha(u))\big)(w) \\
= \quad & ad_{s,t}\big(\alpha\beta(u)\big)\big(- [\alpha^{-1}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(v)]\big) \\
& -ad_{s,t}\big(\beta(v)\big)\big(- [\alpha^{-1}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}(u)]\big) \\
= \quad & \big[\alpha^{-1}\beta[\alpha^{-1}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(v)], \quad \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}\alpha\beta(u)\big] \\
& -\big[\alpha^{-1}\beta[\alpha^{-1}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}(u)], \quad \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}\beta(v)\big] \\
= \quad & \big[\beta[\alpha^{-2}\beta(w), \alpha^{s}\beta^{t-1}(v)], \quad \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t}(u)\big] \\
& -\big[\beta[\alpha^{-2}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(u)], \quad \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t}(v)\big] \\
\overset{\text{skew-symmetry}}{=} \quad & -\big[\beta\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t}(u), \quad \alpha[\alpha^{-2}\beta(w), \alpha^{s}\beta^{t-1}(v)]\big] \\
& +\big[\beta\alpha^{s}\beta^{t}(v), \quad \alpha[\alpha^{-2}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(u)]\big] \\
= \quad & -\big[\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t+1}(u), \quad [\alpha^{-1}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(v)]\big] \\
& +\big[\alpha^{s}\beta^{t+1}(v), \quad [\alpha^{-1}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}(u)]\big] \\
= \quad & \big[\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t+1}(u), \quad [\alpha^{s}\beta^{t}(v), w]\big] \\
& +\big[\alpha^{s}\beta^{t+1}(v), \quad [\alpha^{-1}\beta(w), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}(u)]\big] \\
= \quad & \big[\beta^2\big(\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(u)\big), \quad [\beta\big(\alpha^{s}\beta^{t-1}(v)\big), \alpha\big(\alpha^{-1}(w)\big)]\big] \\
& +\big[\beta^2\big(\alpha^{s}\beta^{t-1}(v)\big), \quad [\beta\big(\alpha^{-1}(w)\big), \alpha\big(\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(u)\big)]\big] \\
= \quad & -\big[\beta^2\big(\alpha^{-1}(w)\big), \quad [\beta\big(\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(u)\big), \alpha\big(\alpha^{s}\beta^{t-1}(v)\big)]\big] \\
= \quad & -\big[\alpha^{-1}\beta^2(w), \quad [\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t}(u), \alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(v)]\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the definition of $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint representation is well defined. The proof is completed. ∎

The set of k-Bihom-cochains on L with coefficients in L, which we denote by $C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L)$, are given by

$$C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L) = \{f \in C^k(L;L) \mid f \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ f, f \circ \beta = \beta \circ f\}.$$

The set of 0-Bihom-cochains are given by:

$$C^0_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L) = \{u \in L \mid \alpha(u) = u, \beta(u) = u\}.$$

Associated to the $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint representation, the coboundary operator

$d_{s,t} : C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L) \to C^{k+1}_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{s,t}f(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+1})\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-1)^i[\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t+k-1}(u_i), f(u_1,\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,u_{k+1})]\\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j+1}f\big([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u_i),u_j],\beta(u_1),\cdots,\widehat{u_i},\cdots,\widehat{u_j},\cdots,\beta(u_{k+1})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

For the $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint representation $ad_{s,t}$, we obtain the $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint complex $(C^k_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L), d_{s,t})$ and the corresponding cohomology

$$H^k(L;ad_{s,t}) = Z^k(L;ad_{s,t})/B^k(L;ad_{s,t}).$$

We have known that a 1-cocycle associated to the adjoint representation is a derivation for Lie algebras and Hom-Lie algebras. Similarly, we have

Proposition 6.3. *Associated to the $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint representation $ad_{s,t}$ of the regular Bihom-Lie algebra $(L,[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha,\beta)$, $D \in C^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L)$ is a 1-cocycle if and only if D is an $\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}$-derivation, i.e. $D \in Der_{\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}}(L)$.*

Proof. The conclusion follows directly from the definition of the coboundary operator $d_{s,t}$. D is closed if and only if

$$d_{s,t}(D)(u,v) = -[\alpha^{s+1}\beta^t(u), D(v)] + [\alpha^{s+1}\beta^t(v), D(u)] + D([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), v]) = 0.$$

D is an $\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}$-derivation if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
D([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u),v]) &= [\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), D(v)] + [\alpha^{-1}\beta\circ D(u), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}(v)]\\
&= [\alpha^{s+1}\beta^t(u), D(v)] - [\alpha^{s+1}\beta^t(v), D(u)].
\end{aligned}$$

So $D \in C^1_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L)$ is a 1-cocycle if and only if D is an $\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}$-derivation. ∎

Proposition 6.4. *For any integer s,t, Associated to the $\alpha^s\beta^t$-adjoint representation $ad_{s,t}$, we have*

$$H^0(L;ad_{s,t}) = \{u \in L \mid \alpha(u) = u, \beta(u) = u, [u,v] = 0, \quad \forall v \in L\};$$

$$H^1(L;ad_{s,t}) = Der_{\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}}(L)/Inn_{\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}}(L).$$

Proof. For any 0-Bihom-cochain $u \in C^0_{\alpha,\beta}(L;L)$, we have

$$d_{s,t}u(v) = -[\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(v), u] = [\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-2}(v)], \quad \forall v \in L.$$

Therefore, u is a closed 0-Bihom-cochain if and only if

$$[\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-2}(v)] = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\alpha^{-s-2}\beta^{-t+2}([\alpha^{-1}\beta(u), \alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-2}(v)]) = [u, v] = 0.$$

Therefore, the set of closed 0-Bihom-cochain $Z^0(L; ad_{s,t})$ is given by

$$Z^0(L; ad_{s,t}) = \{u \in C^0_{\alpha,\beta}(L; L) \mid [u, v] = 0, \quad \forall v \in L\}.$$

Obviously,

$$B^0(L; ad_{s,t}) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$H^0(L; ad_{s,t}) = \{u \in L \mid \alpha(u) = u, \beta(u) = u, [u, v] = 0, \quad \forall v \in L\}.$$

By Proposition 6.3, we have $Z^1(L; ad_{s,t}) = Der_{\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}}(L)$. Furthermore, it is obvious that any exact 1-Bihom-cochain is of the form $-[\alpha^{s+1}\beta^{t-1}(\cdot), u]$ for some $u \in C^0_{\alpha,\beta}(L; L)$. Therefore, we have $B^1(L; ad_{s,t}) = Inn_{\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}}(L)$, which implies that $H^1(L; ad_{s,t}) = Der_{\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}}(L)/Inn_{\alpha^{s+2}\beta^{t-1}}(L)$. ∎

References

1. Ammar F., Ejbehi Z., Makhlouf A., Cohomology and deformations of Hom-algebras, *J. Lie Theory* **21** (2011), 813-836.

2. Chevalley C., Eilenberg S., Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), 85-124.

3. Cheng Y., Su Y., (Co)Homology and universal central extension of Hom-Leibniz algebras, *Acta Math. Sinica* (Ser. B), 27(5) (2011), 813-830.

4. Cheng Y., Su Y., Quantum deformations of Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Algebra Colloq.*, 20(2) (2013), 299-308.

5. Cheng Y., Yang H., Low-dimensional cohomology of the q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Hom-type, *Front. Math. China*, **5**(4) (2010), 607-622.

6. Graziani G., Makhlouf A., Menini C., Panaite F., Bihom-associative algebras, Bihom-Lie algebras and Bihom-bialgebras, *Symmetry, Integrability and geometry.* SIGMA **11** (2015), 086, 34 pages.

7. Hartwig J.T., Larsson D., Silvestrov S.D., Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations, *J. Algebra* **295** (2006), 314-361.

8. Hassanzadeh M., Shapiro I., Sütlü S., Cyclic homology for Hom-associative algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* **98** (2015), 40-56.

9. Liu Y., Chen L., Ma Y., Representations and module-extensions of 3-hom-Lie algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* **98** (2015), 376-383.

10. Makhlouf A., Silvestrov S., Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **9** (2010), 553-589.

11. Makhlouf A., Silvestrov S., Notes on formal deformations of hom-associative and hom-Lie algebras, *Forum Math.* **22** (4)(2010), 715C739.

12. Sheng Y., Representations of Hom-Lie algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **15** (2012), 1081-1098.

13. Sheng, Y., Xiong, Z., On Hom-Lie algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **63** (12) (2015), 2379-2395.

14. Yau D., Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** (2009), 165202.

15. Yau D., Hom-algebras and homology, *J. Lie Theory* **19** (2009), 409-421.